

Sinclair
Broadcasting's
decision to force
their stations to
air an anti-Kerry
documentary days
before the election
is a clear example
of the dangers of
media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the
public airwaves free
of charge, and is
obligated by law to
serve the public
interest. But when
large companies
control the
airwaves, we get
more of what's good
for the bottom line
and less of what we
need for our
democracy. Instead
of something
produced at "News
Central" far away,
it's more important
that we see real
people from our own
communities and more
substantive news
about issues that
matter.

Sinclair's actions
show why we need to
strengthen media
ownership rules, not
weaken them. They
show why the license
renewal process
needs to involve
more than a returned
postcard.

I personally find
Sinclair's actions
repugnant and a
threat to the
democratic process.
The ability to
control a media
outlet can be a very
powerful tool, or
conversely, a very
powerful weapon.
Unless the "equal
time" laws have gone
south (along with so
many other
constitutional
rights) Sinclair is
in clear violation

of the FCC's own
regulations.